# Molecular detection of tick-borne pathogens in ticks from Uganda

Jesca Nakayima<sup>1,2</sup>, Joseph W Magona<sup>2</sup>, and Chihiro Sugimoto<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Collaboration and Education, Research Center for Zoonosis Control, Hokkaido University, Kita 20, Nishi 10, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 001-0020, Japan

<sup>2</sup>National Livestock Resources Research Institute (NaLIRRI), P.O. Box 96 Tororo, Uganda <sup>\*</sup>corresponding author (jescanl2001@yahoo.co.uk)

## Abstract

Background: Tick Borne Diseases (TBDs) cause economic losses to individual farmers and governments, which can be classified into direct and indirect production losses; and costs pertaining to control, research, training and extension services. The most important tick-borne diseases of livestock in sub-Saharan Africa are East Coast fever (caused by Theileria parva), babesioses (caused by Babesia bigemina and B. bovis), anaplasmosis (caused by Anaplasma marginale) and heartwater (caused by Ehrlichia ruminantium). Methods: A molecular epidemiological investigation was undertaken using ticks collected from indigenous cattle in Uganda to determine the prevalence of pathogens of veterinary and public health importance. Tick species endemic in Uganda were identified morphologically and by molecular methods using cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) mitochondrial gene sequence analysis. Pathogens screened in ticks included piroplasmids (B. bovis and B. bigemina), Anaplasma spp., Borrelia spp., Rickettsia spp., Coxiella burnetii, Theileria parva, Theileria spp., and Ehrlichia ruminantium. **Results**: The major tick species were Rhipicephalus appendiculatus, Rhipicephalus evertsi evertsi, Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) decoloratus and Amblyoma variagetum. The life cycle stage of ticks and sex of adult ticks were determined. We detected Babesia bovis, Rickettsia spp., Coxiella burnetii and Anaplasma spp. Conclusion: These results provide an improved understanding of the epidemiology of TBDs in Uganda.

**DOI** dx.doi.org/10.13070/rs.en.1.767 **Date** 2014-05-08 **Cite as** Research 2014;1:767

# Introduction

Tick-borne diseases are among major constraints to livestock production throughout Sub-Saharan Africa. The national cattle herd in Uganda is at constant risk of tick-borne diseases and the overall loss of the calf crop due to these diseases is estimated to be 30% over the greater part of Uganda (Magona, 2004). Rhipicephalus appendiculatus, R. evertsi evertsi, R. (Boophilus) decoloratus and A. variegatum are the major tick species of economic importance in the study area and are capable of transmitting T. parva, A. marginale, B. bigemina and C. ruminantium, respectively (Okello-Onen et al., 1999). Hard ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) are known to harbor intracellular bacteria from several phylogenetic groups that can develop both mutualistic and pathogenic relationships to the host. This is of particular importance for public health as tick-derived bacteria can potentially be transmitted to mammals, including humans, where for example Rickettsia or Coxiella act as severe pathogens (Leclerque et al., 2012) while others such as Wolbachia act as endosymbionts. In addition, Borrelia causes borreliosis, a tick-transmitted zoonosis (Samuels and Radolf, 2010). The major Borrelia species causing Lyme disease are Borrelia burgdorferi, Borrelia afzelii, and Borrelia garinii in addition to Borrelia japonica. Furthermore, Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) decoloratus transmits Borrelia theileri, the cause of spirochaetosis in cattle, sheep, goats and horses. Rickettsia africae transmitted by Amblyoma variagetum, is the aetiologic agent of African tick-bite fever - an emerging zoonosis in rural sub-Saharan Africa and the Caribbean. Moreover, Rickettsia conorii transmitted by Rhipicephalus appendiculatus causes tick typhus in humans. Coxiella burnetii, an important proteobacteria, is the etiological agent of Q ("query") fever, an important zoonosis. Coxiella burnetii is an obligate intracellular Gram-negative bacterium occurring world-wide, whose infections may be acute or chronic with a wide range of clinical symptoms (Raoult and Saltzman, 1994). An extremely wide host range, the traditional reservoirs of human importance, are domestic stock: cattle, sheep and goats; parturient domestic cats and dogs have also been sources of outbreaks (Marrie and Raoult, 1997). Organisms localize in the placenta and mammary glands, and while infections in animals are usually silent, they can cause outbreaks of abortion. Although TBDs are a major challenge to the livestock industry, epidemiological information pertaining to these diseases for control interventions is often lacking, which is the focus of this paper.

### Materials and Methods

### Tick sampling

From July 2008 to January 2009, adult ticks were collected from indigenous cattle in eastern Uganda. Ticks were analyzed from three districts: Tororo (latitude: 35° 39' 41.0256", longitude: 139° 42' 27"), Soroti (latitude: 35° 30' 15.321", longitude: 139° 30' 2.919"), and Amuria (latitude: 2° 1' 48.612", longitude: 33° 38' 33.9102"). The ticks were handpicked from randomly selected cattle herds and were preserved at -20°C. A total of 154 ticks were analysed. Ethical approval was obtained by the Research Centre for Zoonosis Control, Hokkaido University, Japan. The study was conducted adhering to this institution's guidelines for animal husbandry. Verbal informed consent was obtained from each owner of livestock prior to the collection of the ticks.

### Tick species identification

The ticks were identified morphologically with the aid of a photographic microscope using the tick identification keys (Walker et al., 2003) and (Beati and Keirans, 2001).

### DNA isolation

Genomic DNA was prepared from ticks collected from eastern Uganda using DNA extraction with DNAzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, ticks were surface-sterilized by a 1000µl DDW wash, two washes in a 75% ethanol solution, followed by a 1000µl DDW wash and finally soaked in DNAzol. The ticks were individually incubated in DNAzol at room temperature for 3 to 5 days. Then the sample was homogenized twice by Micro Smash MS-100R (TOMY, Tokyo, Japan) in the presence of stainless steel beads (1.0 mm in diameter) for 2 min at 2,500 rpm, followed by DNA extraction with DNAzol. The tick homogenate was mixed with 1 ml DNAzol reagent prior to the addition of 100% ethanol. The sample was shaken vigorously and left at 4°C for 2 hours to sediment the DNA followed by two washes with 75% ethanol. DNA was precipitated by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 10 min, solubilised in 200 µl of 8 mM NaOH. The solution was then neutralised by adding 2 µl of 1 M HEPES and was stored at -20°C prior to further processing. In order to determine whether DNA was successfully extracted from ticks, PCR was carried out to amplify the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (CO1) gene of ticks. Tick DNA extracted was amplified and sequenced using "universal" DNA primers for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of a 710-bp fragment of the (COI) which detects sequences from 11 invertebrate phyla (Folmer et al., 1994). This is aimed at further confirming tick identification. The purified PCR products were confirmed by direct sequencing (Table 1).

Babesia bigemina     SS rRNA gene     GAU5 (I GAU6 (I GAU9 (I       Babesia bovis     SS rRNA gene     GAU10 ( GAU10 (       Theileria parva     TPR1     IL194       Theileria parva     TPR1     IL197       Theileria spp.     SSU rRNA     990       Tick species     COI     990       Ehrlichia ruminantium     pCS20     B3       Anaplasma sp.     16S rDNA     EHR16S       Coxiella burnetii     IS1111     Trans 1       Borelia spp.     BflaPAD       Borelia spp.     BflaPAD			Reference
Babesia bovis     SS rRNA gene     GAU9 (I GAU) (I GAU) (I       Theileria parva     TPR1     IL194       Theileria spp.     SSU rRNA     989       Tick species     COI     990       Tick species     COI     1400149       Ehrlichia ruminantium     pCS20     B3       Anaplasma sp.     16S rDNA     EHR16S       Coxiella burnetii     IS1111     Trans 1       Borelia spp.     BflaPAD       Borelia spp.     BflaPAD	AU5 (Forward) 5'- TGGCGC AU6 (Reverse) 5'- CCACGC	GCGTTTATTAGTTCG- 3' 2TTGAAGGACAGGA- 3'	Guido et al. (2002)
Theileria parvaTPR1IL197Theileria spp.SSU rRNA989Tick speciesCOI900Tick speciesCOI $HC02196$ Ehrlichia ruminantium $pCS20$ $B3$ Anaplasma sp.16S rDNA $EHR16S$ Coxiella burnetiiIS1111Trans 2Borelia spp.B1a1PDUBorelia spp.B1a2Borelia spp.B1a2Broll a spp.B1a2	AU9 (Forward) 5'- CTGTCC AU10 (Reverse) 5'- CGCACC	3TACCGTTGGTTGAC-3' 3GACGGAGACCGA-3'	Guido et al. (2002)
Theileria spp.SSU rRNA989Tick speciesCOILCO1490Pick speciesCOIHC02195Ehrlichia runniantiumpCS20B3Anaplasma sp.16S rDNAEHR16SCoxiella burnetiiIS1111Trans 1BaPADBorelia spp.BfaPPDUBorelia spp.BraPCR	194   ATATATCC     197   TACAGCCA	AGCCATAGCTCCTGGAATGATTGT ATGAGATCTCATGACACATATAGA	Bishop et al., 1992
Tick species     COI     LC0149       Ehrlichia ruminantium     pCS20     F3       Ehrlichia ruminantium     pCS20     B3       Anaplasma sp.     16S rDNA     EHR16S       Coxiella burnetii     IS1111     Trans 1       Coxiella burnetii     IS1111     Trans 2       BaaPDU     BnaPDU       Borelia spp.     BfaPDU       Brezie     BfaPDU       Brezie     BfaPCR	9 AGTTTCTC 0 TTGCCTTA	JACCTATCAG AACTTCCTTG	Allsopp et al., 1993
Ehrlichia ruminantiumpCS20F3Ehrlichia ruminantiumpCS20B3Anaplasma sp.16S rDNAEHR16SCoxiella burnetiiIS1111Trans 1Trans 2BflaPADBorelia spp.BflaPBUBorelia spp.BflaPCRBraPCRBflaPCR	CO1490:         5'-         GGTCAAC/           C02198:         5'-         TAAACTTC	AAATCATAAAGATATTGG AGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA	Folmer et al., 1994
Anaplasma sp.16S rDNAEHR16SCoxiella burnetiiIS1111Trans 1Trans 2Trans 2BflaPADBorelia spp.BflaPBUBflaPBUBorelia spp.BflaPCRRp2C78	GTTGATGC GTAATGTT	JAGGATTAAAAGCA TCATGTGAATTGATCC	Nakao et al, 2010
Coxiella burnetiiIS1111Trans 1Trans 2BflaPADBflaPADBflaPDUBorelia spp.BflaPCRBflaPCRBflaPCR	HRI6SD GGTACCYA HRI6SR TAGCACTC	ACAGAAGAAGTCC ATCGTTTACAGC	Parola et al, 2000
BflaPAD BflaPDU BflaPDU BflaPCR BflaPCR RpCS78	ans 1 TATGTATC ans 2 CCCAACAA	CACCGTAGCCAGT C ACACCTCCTTATTC	Parisi et al., 2006
RpCS.78	laPADGATCA(G/z)laPDUAGATTCAAlaPBU,nestGCTGAAG/laPCR,nestTGATCAGT	A)GC(T/A)CAA(C/T)ATAACCA(A/T)ATGCA \GTCTGTTTTGGAAAGC AGCTTGGAATGCAACC TATCATTGTAATGCA	Takano et al, 2010
gltA RpCS.87 Rickettsia spp. RpCS.12	pCS.780p GACCATGA pCS.877p GGGGACC pCS.1273r CATAACCA	AGCAGAATGCTTCT FGCTCACGGCGG GTGTAAAGCTG	Ishikura et al. (2003)
OMPA Rr.190.7 Rr.190.7	array array arraged Array a	ATATTTCTCCAAAA FAATGGCAGCATCT	Roux et al., 1996
Rickettsia spp. $16S rDNA$ $fD1$ Rc16S-4i	al AGAGTTTC 516S-452n AACGTCAT	3ATCCTGGCTCAG TTATCTTCCTTGC	Marquez et al., 1998
Rickettsia africae dksA-xerC dksAF	EAF TCCCATAG	GTAATTTAGGTGTTTC	Fournier et al, 2004
dksAR Intergenic spacers rpmE-tRNA fMet rpmEF rpmER	mer tactaccd mer taccgga/ mer tcaggtta	CATATCCAATTAAAAA AATGTAGTAAATCAATC ATGAGCCTGACGA	Fournier et al, 2004

# Table 1. Primers and conditions for PCR detection of pathogen DNA.

OPEN ACCESS

						60	$\mathbf{tA}$			om	$\mathbf{pA}$	
Study district	Ν	Γ	$\mathbf{Z}$	Total	Μ	ы	Z	Total	Ν	Ē	Z	Total
Soroti n= 58	22	34	7	58								
R.app	2	က	0	ŋ	2	0	0	4	2	2	0	4
R.E.E	16	14	Ч	31	5	$\infty$	0	13	5	6	0	14
Booph decol	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A. var	0	17	Η	18	0	17	1	18	0	17	1	18
Tororo n= $48$	29	19	0	48								
R.app	14	7	0	21	9	9	0	12	7	9	0	13
R.E.E	15	12	0	27	9	6	0	15	7	10	0	17
Amuria n=48	17	31	0	48								
R.app	က	12	0	15	-	4	0	5	က	x	0	11
R.E.E	14	19	0	33	×	14	0	22	11	14	0	25
Total n= $154$	68	84	2	154	28	60	1	89	35	66	1	102
Table 2. No	o. ticks	; identi	fied. F	tesults of F	PCR sci	reening	r for R	ickettsiae i	n ticks	from <sup>1</sup>	Jeanda	

Uganda.
ticks from
н.
Rickettsiae
for
screening
Ĥ
РС
of
Results
ď.
identifie
ticks
No.
ъ.
able

 ${\rm RESEARCH-www.labome.org}$ 

<b>Fick species (no. of tested</b>	Species of Rick-	Marker	R. africae	GENBank
specimens)	ettsia detected		seduences	Homology
	positives)			
Amblyoma variagetum (10)		16S  rRNA	17	
$\mathbf{D}$	R. africae $(17)$	dksA-xerC	17	CP001612
Knipicepnalus e. evertsi (1)	~	rpmE-tRNAfmet	17	

Table 3. PCR detection and sequence identification of spotted fever group Rickettsia spp. from ticks collected in Uganda.

# PCR

The tick-borne pathogens were characterized by PCR amplification using species-specific primers for the different pathogens as indicated in Table 1. All PCR reactions were conducted using Amplitaq Gold® 360 reagent in a 20 µl reaction for 35 cycles. The resulting amplified products were electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel at 100V for 30 minutes, stained using GelRed dye (Biotium USA) and subsequently visualized under a UV transilluminator. The PCR products of positive samples were sequenced. The DNA sequences obtained were submitted to the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) (http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp) under accession nos. AB934387 to AB934398.

### Results

### Tickborne pathogens detected in ticks

All ticks collected from livestock were identified as R. appendiculatus, R. (Boophilus) decoloratus, A. variagetum and R. e. evertsi by both morphological and molecular methods. A lower prevalence of tickborne pathogens was revealed as expected in vector hosts as compared to vertebrate host blood (Fig. 1). A higher prevalence of Rickettsia spp. (57.8%) was revealed. (Fig. 1). Babesia bigemina, Borrelia spp, Theileria spp, and Theileria parva were not detected (Fig. 1). Mixed infections were detected in ticks by separate PCRs.



**Figure 1.** Prevalence of tick-borne pathogens in ticks from Uganda.

Using molecular detection methods, the presence of Tick bite fever in Uganda has been determined. Although in Uganda Tick bite fever has so far not been diagnosed in humans, findings that ticks in Uganda are infected with R. africae confirm data that A. variagetum might be also involved in the transmission of this parasite in Uganda and Africa at large, given reported research from Nigeria (Ogo et al., 2012) and the possible risk of transmitting these infections to humans.

### Multi-spacer typing of R. africae

We report here the detection of Rickettsia africae, the agent responsible for African tick-bite fever, by amplification of fragments of 16S rDNA and multi-spacer typing from Amblyoma variagetum & Rhipicephalus evertsi evertsi ticks collected from Uganda. Seventeen samples positive for R. africae were used for MST typing. Sequencing of PCRamplified dksA-xerC and rpmE-tRNAfmet gave a sequence with 98% & 91% similarity by the sequence of R. africae detected in Ugandan ticks and the published genomic R. africae sequence in Gen-Bank (CP001612), respectively.

### Discussion

To understand the epidemiology of tick-transmitted diseases, the interaction among the pathogens, vectors and vertebrate hosts should be investigated. The use of molecular methods such as speciesspecific PCR and sequencing in investigations of tick-borne pathogens allowed to screen, detect and identify the causative agents of Anaplasmosis, Q fever, Rickettsiosis, and babesiosis in ticks from Uganda. Rickettsia africae, the cause of African tick bite fever, was detected in Ugandan ticks in this study indicating that this zoonotic disease is endemic in Uganda. Given the fact that over 85% of Ugandan population is rural-based (http://countryoffice.unfpa.org/uganda/drive/

UNDAF.pdf) with 80% of Ugandan livelihoods depending on agriculture (Gollin and Rogerson, 2010), this indicates that the population is at risk and hence the threat of African tick bite fever is big. Almost no research has been done on tick bite fever in Uganda; this implies that the cases go undiagnosed. Therefore, there is need for the medical practitioners to consider diagnosis of tick bite fever as a differential diagnosis to pyrexia cases. The Rickettsia africae isolates from Uganda clustered together and showed a close relationship with GenBank deposited sequences of R. africae from Nigeria (Data not shown). The genus Rickettsia was subdivided into the typhus group (TG), whose members are R. typhi, R. prowazekii, and R. canada; the spotted fever group (SFG), which includes about 20 different species; and the scrub typhus group, which includes R. tsutsugamushi. Recent phylogenetic studies have demonstrated the evolutionary unity of the TG and the SFG rickettsiae. However, the position of R. tsutsugamushi has been found to be distinct enough to warrant transfer into a new genus Orientia, as O. tsutsugamushi (Tamura et al., 1995). Rickettsiae are associated with arthropods which can transmit the microorganisms to vertebrates via salivary secretions or feces; contamination by aerosol (Oster et al., 1977) and blood transfusion (Wells et al., 1978) have also been described. Ixodid or hard ticks are the vectors or at least the hosts of SFG rickettsiae and R. canada; mites are the vectors of R. akari and O. tsutsugamushi; lice are the vectors of R. prowazekii; and fleas are the vectors of R. typhi and R. felis. The ladybird beetle and pea aphid serve as hosts for the AB bacterium and pea aphid rickettsiae, respectively (Raoult and Roux, 1997). The rickettsiae endemic in Africa include: Epidemic typhus (R. prowazekii), Murine typhus (R. typhi), R. conorii and R. africae (Raoult and Roux, 1997). Therefore, we recommend that the medical practitioners consider Tick bite fever as a differential diagnosis for Pyrexia cases in Uganda. A large number of people are at risk e.g tourists, hunters, farmers and soldiers. Rickettsia africae infections have emerged in the West Indies, where the usual vector outside of Southern Africa, A. variagetum, was imported into Guadeloupe with cattle from Senegal in the 19th Century, and has become widespread in the Caribbean region in the last 30 years (Kelly, 2006). Additionally, more extensive prevalence studies should be carried out on bacteria in Ugandan ticks, to determine what types of pathogens they harbor and whether they have potential in playing a role as a risk to human health. Studies on the bacterial communities of ticks are useful as they help to disclose new microbial associations and have led to the discovery of some previously unknown tick-borne pathogens. Diagnosis of cattle clinically infected with Babesiosis is relatively simple on the basis of the manifestation of the disease and

the presence of infected erythrocytes in peripheral blood. Accurate diagnosis of subclinically infected cattle is, however, more difficult, since conventional microscopic and serologic techniques lack the reliability and sensitivity features that are required in a diagnostic test (Ambrosio and De Waal 1990). Using microscopic examination, Babesia bigemina is larger in size and having a paired structure at an acute angle to each other. Babesia bovis is smaller in size and has a paired form at an obtuse angel to each other. However, it is hard to differentiate the two species microscopically. PCR is more sensitive and specific in detecting low levels of infections in carrier animals as compared to light microscopy (Fahrimal et al. (1992); Figueroa et al. (1992a,b, 1993a,b); Chaudhry et al., (2010). The flagellin gene-targeted PCR analysis allows the detection of all borrelial infections irrespective of differences in the causative species because the flagellin gene is highly conserved among Borrelia species (Picken, 1992). Ehrlichioses and anaplasmoses have been known for a long time in veterinary medicine. However, in recent years, three bacteria have been recognized as emerging tick-borne pathogens in humans: human monocytic ehrlichiosis due to Ehrlichia chaffeensis, Ehrlichia ewingii granulocytic ehrlichiosis, and human granulocytic anaplasmosis (formerly human granulocytic ehrlichiosis) due to A. phagocytophilum (formerly named the HE agent, E. phagocytophila and E. equi) (Dumler, 2001; Parola, 2004). We detected Anaplasma spp. from Uganda with a prevalence of 10.40%, identified as Anaplasma bovis and Anaplasma phagocytophilum. However, some Anaplasma spp. PCR products were identified as Ehrlichia sp. (n = 8) on sequence analysis. This could be as a result of the change in nomenclature of zoonotic human Anaplasmosis from Human granulocytic Ehrlichiosis (HGE) to Human granulocytic Anaplasmosis (HGA) or the close association of the two species (Malik et al., 2005). Additionally, Dumler et al., (2001) designated Ehrlichia equi and "HE agent" as subjective synonyms of Ehrlichia phagocytophila or used concurrently, Anaplasma (Ehrlichia) phagocytophilum (Chen et al., 1994 and Dumler et al., 2001). Dumler et al., 1995 reported serologic cross-reactions among Ehrlichia equi, Ehrlichia phagocytophilum and human granulocytic Ehrlichia. A case of Ehrlichia canis

was detected in one tick sample. Theileriosis is one of the most economically important Tick-borne diseases. The major species of Theileria in Uganda are T. parva parva and T. mutans. The presence of T. p. lawrencei is assumed, particularly in areas adjacent to game parks and reserves. Theileria velifera and T. taurotragi are known to occur but cause no disease (Otim C.P., http://www.fao.org). East Coast fever is enzotic throughout Uganda except in the drier open plains of the Karamoja region. Its distribution coincides with that of the tick vector Rhipicephalus appendiculatus. However, ECF epizootics occur from time to time in Karamoja during periods of unusual vector abundance because the majority of cattle lack immunity (Otim C.P, http://www.fao.org). In the current study, Theileria spp. and Theileria parva was not detected, possibly because of the small sample size. Coxiella burnetii was detected at a prevalence of 24.7% (38/154) from Tororo district but it was not detected from Soroti and Amuria districts. Given the zoonotic nature of Coxiella burnetii, this presents a public health risk which warrants the awareness of Medical practitioners. Our results revealed sensitivity of intergenic multi spacer typing with 100% agreement for R. africae detection between 16S rDNA, dksA-xerC and rpmE-tRNAfmet spacers. It has been suggested that intergenic spacer sequences are an important source of genome plasticity because they do not undergo selection pressure compared to coding genes hence great interspecies variability, a target for strain typing (Fournier et al., 2004; Fournier and Raoult, 2007). Surveillance of pathogens in both livestock and human-biting ticks in Uganda is essential to educating health and veterinary professionals, elucidating tick-borne disease risk, and ultimately protecting the health of the public and improving livestock productivity.

# Conclusion

In this study, we investigated the species of ticks parasitizing livestock and humans in eastern Uganda and identified pathogenic bacterial DNA in the arthropods. Tick-borne diseases are the most important diseases that affect livestock production in Uganda. Thus, there is a need to develop tick control strategies that can be adopted by farmers in rural areas in order to reduce calf losses due to ECF and other TBDs. Q fever is present in Uganda, veterinarians and physicians must be aware of the epidemiology of this disease. Probably the best approach to management of Q fever is to investigate outbreaks and apply appropriate control measures if necessary. Those at high (occupational) risk for this infection should also be aware of its signs and symptoms.

### Declarations

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the program of Funding Research Center for Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Disease from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (MEXT).

# Addition

Per authors' request on February 9, 2015, the following declaration is added to this article. The DNA sequences obtained were submitted to the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) (http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp) under accession nos. AB934387 to AB934398.

### References

- 1. Ambrosio R, De Waal D. Diagnosis of parasitic disease. Rev Sci Tech. 1990;9:759-78
- Beati L, Keirans J. Analysis of the systematic relationships among ticks of the genera Rhipicephalus and Boophilus (Acari: Ixodidae) based on mitochondrial 12S ribosomal DNA gene sequences and morphological characters. J Parasitol. 2001;87:32-48
- Chaudhry ZI, Suleman M, Younus M, Aslim A. 2010. Molecular Detection of Babesia bigemina and Babesia bovis in Crossbred Carrier Cattle Through PCR. Pakistan J. Zool., 42(2), 201-204.
- 4. Chen S, Dumler J, Bakken J, Walker D. Identification of a granulocytotropic Ehrlichia species as the etiologic agent of human disease. J Clin Microbiol. 1994;32:589-95
- Dumler J, Asanovich K, Bakken J, Richter P, Kimsey R, Madigan J. Serologic cross-reactions among Ehrlichia equi, Ehrlichia phagocytophila, and human granulocytic Ehrlichia. J Clin Microbiol. 1995;33:1098-103
- 6. Dumler J, Barbet A, Bekker C, Dasch G, Palmer G, Ray S, et al. Reorganization of genera in the families Rickettsiaceae and Anaplasmataceae in the order Rickettsiales: unification of some species of Ehrlichia with Anaplasma, Cowdria with Ehrlichia and Ehrlichia with Neorickettsia, descriptions of six new species combi. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2001;51:2145-65
- 7. Fahrimal Y, Goff W, Jasmer D. Detection of Babesia bovis carrier cattle by using polymerase chain reaction amplification of parasite DNA. J Clin Microbiol. 1992;30:1374-9
- Figueroa J, Chieves L, Byers P, Frerichs W, Buening G. Evaluation of a DNA-based probe for the detection of cattle experimentally infected with Babesia bigemina. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1992;653:131-45
- Figueroa J, Chieves L, Johnson G, Buening G. Detection of Babesia bigemina-infected carriers by polymerase chain reaction amplification. J Clin Microbiol. 1992;30:2576-82
- Figueroa J, Alvarez J, Ramos J, Vega C, Buening G. Use of multiplex polymerase chain reactionbased assay to conduct epidemiological studies on bovine hemoparasites in Mexico. Rev Elev Med Vet Pays Trop. 1993;46:71-5
- Figueroa J, Chieves L, Johnson G, Buening G. Multiplex polymerase chain reaction based assay for the detection of Babesia bigemina, Babesia bovis and Anaplasma marginale DNA in bovine blood. Vet Parasitol. 1993;50:69-81
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol. 1994;3:294-9
- Fournier P, Zhu Y, Ogata H, Raoult D. Use of highly variable intergenic spacer sequences for multispacer typing of Rickettsia conorii strains. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42:5757-66
- Fournier P, Raoult D. Identification of rickettsial isolates at the species level using multi-spacer typing. BMC Microbiol. 2007;7:72
- 15. Gollin D, Rogerson R. 2010. Agriculture, Roads, and Economic Development in Uganda.
- 16. Kelly P. Rickettsia africae in the West Indies. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12:224-6

- Leclerque A, Kleespies R. A Rickettsiella bacterium from the hard tick, Ixodes woodi: molecular taxonomy combining multilocus sequence typing (MLST) with significance testing. PLoS ONE. 2012;7:e38062 publisher
- 18. Magona JW. 2004. Point of care diagnosis of bovine trypanosomosis, tick-borne diseases and helminthosis with emphasis on portable anaemia detection devices and decision support system. PhD thesis submitted to Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Glasgow: 40 - 120.
- Malik A, Jameel M, Ali S, Mir S. Human granulocytic anaplasmosis affecting the myocardium. J Gen Intern Med. 2005;20:C8-10
- Marrie T, Raoult D. Q fever-a review and issues for the next century. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 1997;8:145-61
- Ogo N, de Mera I, Galindo R, Okubanjo O, Inuwa H, Agbede R, et al. Molecular identification of tick-borne pathogens in Nigerian ticks. Vet Parasitol. 2012;187:572-7 publisher
- Okello-Onen J, Tukahirwa E, Perry B, Rowlands G, Nagda S, Musisi G, et al. Population dynamics of ticks on indigenous cattle in a pastoral dry to semi-arid rangeland zone of Uganda. Exp Appl Acarol. 1999;23:79-88
- Oster C, Burke D, Kenyon R, Ascher M, Harber P, Pedersen C. Laboratory-acquired Rocky Mountain spotted fever. The hazard of aerosol transmission. N Engl J Med. 1977;297:859-63
- 24. Otim CP: Theileriosis in Uganda. Available from: www.fao.org/Wairdocs/ILRI/x5549E/x5549e0a.htm
- 25. Parola P. Tick-borne rickettsial diseases: emerging risks in Europe. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 2004;27:297-304
- 26. Picken R. Polymerase chain reaction primers and probes derived from flagellin gene sequences for specific detection of the agents of Lyme disease and North American relapsing fever. J Clin Microbiol. 1992;30:99-114
- Raoult D, Roux V. Rickettsioses as paradigms of new or emerging infectious diseases. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1997;10:694-719
- Raoult D, Saltzman RL.1994. Q fever. In Infectious Diseases, P.D. Hoeprich, M.C. Jordan, and d. Ronal, A.R., eds. (Philadelphia, Lippincott Company), 417-420.
- 29. Roux V, Fournier P, Raoult D. Differentiation of spotted fever group rickettsiae by sequencing and analysis of restriction fragment length polymorphism of PCR-amplified DNA of the gene encoding the protein rOmpA. J Clin Microbiol. 1996;34:2058-65
- Samuels DS, Radolf JD (editors). 2010. Borrelia: Molecular Biology, Host Interaction and Pathogenesis. Caister Academic Press. ISBN 978-1-904455-58-5.
- Tamura A, Ohashi N, Urakami H, Miyamura S. Classification of Rickettsia tsutsugamushi in a new genus, Orientia gen. nov., as Orientia tsutsugamushi comb. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1995;45:589-91
- 32. UNDAF Uganda. 2009. The republic of uganda united nations development assistance framework 2010 to 2014. Available from: countryoffice.unfpa.org/uganda/drive/UNDAF.pdf
- 33. Walker AR, Bouattour A, Camicas JL, Estrada-Peña A, Horak IG, Latif AA; Pegram RG, Preston PM. 2003. Ticks of Domestic Animals in Africa: a Guide to Identification of Species. The University of Edinburgh.

34. Wells G, Woodward T, Fiset P, Hornick R. Rocky mountain spotted fever caused by blood transfusion. JAMA. 1978;239:2763-5

ISSN : 2334-1009